TLLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Aug 30 10 43 AM \*02

# STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION OHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY	)	
	)	
Petition for declaration of service currently provided	)	
under Rate 6Lto 3 MW and greater customers as a	)	
competitive service pursuant to Section 16-113 of the	)	Docket No. 02-0479
Public Utilities Act and approval of related tariff	)	
amendments.	)	
	)	
	Ś	

**Direct Testimony of** 

THOMAS K. O'CONNOR

Chief of Maintenance and Operations Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

August 29, 2002

1	Ų.	rease state your name and business address.
2	<b>A.</b>	Thomas K. O'Connor. My business address is 100 East Erie, Chicago, Illinois
3		60611.
4	Q.	By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
5	<b>A.</b>	I am employed by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater
6		Chicago ("District"). I am currently the District's Chief of Maintenance and
7		Operations ("M&O").
8	Q.	Mr. O'Connor, how long have you been employed by the District, and for
9		what period of time have you been the Chief of M&O?
10	<b>A.</b>	I have been employed by the District since 1978. I have been the Chief of
11		M&O since 1993.
12	Q.	Mr. O'Connor, what is your educational background?
13	<b>A.</b>	I hold a BSCE from the University of Notre Dame and I received J.D. from
14		DePaul University College of Law.
15	Q.	Mr. O'Connor, could you describe the services provide by your employer,
16		the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.
17	<b>A.</b>	The District is a unit of local government created by the state legislature
18		for the purpose of collecting and disposing of sewerage, reducing pollution of
19		the waterways and preventing flooding. 70 ILCS 2605/1, et seq. ("District
20		Act"). The District's service area is most of Cook County. In its capacity as a
21		public health agency, the District operates seven sewage treatment facilities in
22		its service area, serves five million residents and treats an average of 1.4
23		billion gallons of sewage daily. The primary funding mechanism for the

44 45	Q.	Do you supervise the 1200 M&O employees and oversee M&O's \$180 million budget?
43	0	cost to the taxpayers.
42		hydroelectric generator, and seeking reliable service at a reasonable
41		use of methane gas, the improvement and maximization of the District's
40		voluntary curtailment of electric use during peak periods, collection and
39		Management of energy costs by maximizing off-peak use of electricity,
38		of biosolids, which are a byproduct of the water reclamation process.
37		Removal, processing, beneficial reuse and environmentally safe disposal
36		reservoirs.
35		connections; 5 side stream elevated pool aeration stations; and 31 retention
34		stations; 93 miles of the tunnel and reservoir plan with 145 controlled
33		with approximately 430 controlled connections; 24 remote pumping
32		include: seven water reclamation plants; 550 miles of intercepting sewers
31		that treat over 540 billion gallons of wastewater yearly. These facilities
		• •
30		Maintaining and operating the District's collection and treatment facilities
29		to, the following:
28		\$180 million. The duties of the M&O Department include, but are not limited
27	A.	The M&O Department has approximately 1200 employees and a budget of
26	Q.	What function does the M&O Department perform within the District?
25		owners within its service area.
24		District's operations is ad valorem property taxes collected from property

46 A. Yes. Do your duties include the budgeting and procurement of electrical 47 Q. 48 services for the District's wastewater treatment plants and pumping stations? 49 50 A. Yes. 51 Immediately prior to becoming the Chief of M&O, what position did Q. 52 you hold with the District, and what were your job responsibilities? 53 Prior to becoming the Chief of M&O, I was the Contract Administrator for A. 54 for M&O for approximately four years. As Contract Administrator, I reviewed all of the contracts issued by the M&O Department. 55 Q. Who provides the District with its electrical service and how much does 56 57 the District spend per year on electrical service to its major facilities? 58 The District currently utilizes the bundled services of Commonwealth A. 59 Edison Company ("ComEd"). For the calendar year 2001, the District's six 60 water reclamation facilities and its Mainstream Pumping Station incurred 61 approximately \$29 million in charges for electric power and energy supplied 62 by ComEd. (See attachment "1" hereto.) All of the aforementioned services 63 were billed under ComEd's Rate 6L-Large General Service ("Rate 6L"). 64 ComEd has advised us that the District's electrical usage makes the District 65 one of ComEd's 10 largest users in northern Illinois. 66 Q. What is the peak demand for the District facilities served under Rate 6L The peak demand is approximately 81 MW. 67 A. 68 Q. Mr. O'Connor, are you familiar with the Petition for Competitive Service

Declaration ("Petition") filed with the Illinois Commerce Commission by 69 Commonwealth Edison Company in Docket No. 02-0479? 70 71 A. Yes. I reviewed the Petition and certain of the testimony. In its Petition, ComEd states that when the General Assembly adopted 72 Q. 73 the "Electric Service Customer Choice and Rate Relief Law of 1997;" 74 which amended the Public Utilities Act, 220 ILCS 5/1-101, et seq. by adding Article XVI, the legislature contemplated the evolution of a 75 competitive market. (Petition, ¶ 1). ComEd further alleges that the **76** 77 General Assembly encouraged the Commission to "act to promote the development of an effectively competitive electricity market that operates **78 79** efficiently and is equitable to all consumers." (Id.) Mr. O'Connor, from 80 the District'sperspective, if the relief sought by ComEd is granted by the 81 Commission, will it promote the competitive goals cited by ComEd in its 82 Petition? 83 No. A. 84 What is the basis of your answer? Q. 85 The District is a public health agency relied upon by over 4.5 million A. 86 residents. The District must have a reliable source of electricity to operate its 87 wastewater treatment facilities. Furthermore, the District is subject to the 88 Property Tax Extension Limitation Law, 35 ILCS 200/18-185, et seq. ("Tax 89 Caps"). Tax Caps impose a limit upon the amount of funds the District can 90 raise from year to year via ad valorem property taxes. Consequently, the

District must not only be able to accurately budget its energy costs from year

91

to year, but must also operate within the limits of Tax Caps. The relief sought by ComEd will inhibit, not foster, competition. For example, the District has been developing a request for proposals for electric energy service. The District wanted to test the market while still having a safe harbor, i.e. ComEd's Rate 6L, to return to in the event the alternate supplier defaulted or its services became uneconomical. If ComEd's proposal is adopted, however, the District would not be able to return to Rate 6L once it leaves for another suppler. In the event of a default, the District would be compelled to take service under ComEd's Hourly Energy Pricing service, which is extremely volatile, or seek out a third party supplier. Neither option gives the District the reliability and the certainty in budgeting necessary to provide its essential public health services to the millions of residents across Cook County. In effect, the District believes that ComEd's proposal will decrease competition because users such as the District will be reluctant to test the market because of the inability to return to Rate 6L. In addition, for those users who have already left, they may be forced to immediately return in order to lock in Rate 6L through May of 2006. Did you review the Direct testimony of Arlene A. Juracek, ComEd's Vice President of Regulatory and Strategic Services, that was filed in conjunction with ComEd's Petition in July of 2002? Yes I did. At page 8 of her testimony, Ms. Juracek states that Rate 6L customers "... no longer need the "safety net" of a fixed price rate, and unlimited

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

110

111

112 A.

113 Q.

114

109 Q.

access to such a rate at this point impedes rather than encourages the ongoing transition to an even more fully competitive market for electric service. In fact, to maintain the availability of Rate 6L for those customers under these conditions runs the risk of "killing the market with kindness," as I discuss below." (lines 137-141). From the District's experience, will the removal of the safety net impede the transition to a competitive market? No. In fact, as I stated above, just the opposite is true. The District will be less inclined to go into the marketplace and shop for electrical services if the safety net is removed. The District was willing to test the market knowing that its public health functions would not be jeopardized in the event of a default by another supplier because the District had the so-called "safety net" of Rate 6L. Without the safe harbor of Rate 6L, the District will be much less likely to test the market and expose itself to the potentially dramatic fluctuations in price and the reliability of a retail supplier. Mr. O'Connor, in its Petition, ComEd also cites as evidence that there is adequate and healthy competition for the 3MW and greater 6L customers the fact that "70% of the 3 MW and greater 6L customers have made a competitive choice with 44% of the 3 MW and greater Rate 6L customers that are taking delivery service purchasing their power and energy from non-affiliated RESs." (Petition, ¶ 11). How do you respond to that? The fact that 44% of customers are purchasing their power and energy from

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

131

132

133

134

135

137

136 A.

130 Q.

122 A.

non-affiliated RESs only demonstrates that the current transitional period with

a safe harbor in place is working to foster competition. If customers had always been precluded from returning to Rate 6L once they choose another supplier, as now proposed, competition would have been diminished because companies would not have taken a chance to leave in the first place without a safety net. This is the position the District will be in if the relief sought by ComEd is granted. Removal of the Safe Harbor provision will stifle competition because the District and other similarly situated customers contemplating going into the marketplace will refrain from doing so because they will have no economical choice in the event of a problem with their RES. Conclusion Does this conclude your testimony?

- 149 Q.
- 150 A. Yes.

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

### Summary of the MWRDGC's Electric Usage

In calendar year 2001, the MWRDGC used over 570 Million kWh at an average cost of \$0.05231 per/kWh. The following table provides a summary of the MWRDGC's 2001 Usage and Cost.

### Summary of 2001 MWRDGC Usage and Cost

Plant Site	Plant Peak Max Demand (kW)	Annual Energy Usage (kWh)	Total Electric Cost	Average Cost (\$/kWh)	Rate Schedule
Calumet Water Reclamation Plant	23,693	100,364,169	\$6,755,262	\$0.0673	6L
John E. Egan Water Reclamation Plant	4,552	20,468,515	\$1,206,671	\$0.0590	6L
Hanover Water Reclamation Plant	1,506	7,588,249	\$443,145	\$0.0584	6L
James C. Kirie Water Reclamation Plant	6,499	27,811,762	\$1,686,614	\$0.0606	6L
Lemont Water Reclamation Plant	438	2,467,680	\$144,863	\$.0587	6
North Side Water Reclamation Plant	9,777	64,860,027	\$3,635,898	\$0.0561	6L
Stickney Water Reclamation Plant	45,439	271,364,036	\$13,019,276	\$0.0480	6L
Main Office Building	1,486	4,507,942	\$ 378,669	\$0.084	6T5
Mainstream Pumping Station	37,514	68,627,270	\$2,417,525	\$0.0352	6L
Various Pumping Stations	NA	8,326,499	\$602,688	\$0.0724	024
Wilmette	29	98,615	\$9,347	\$0.0948	6
TOTAL	130,933	<u>576,484,764</u>	<u>\$30,299,958</u>	<u>\$0.05256</u>	

## STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY	)			
Petition for declaration of service currently provided under Rate 6Lto 3 MW and greater customers as a competitive service pursuant to Section 16-113 of the Public Utilities Act and approval of related tariff amendments.	) ) ) Docket No. 02-0479 ) )			
NOTICE OF	FILING			
TO: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST				
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT ON Augusthe Chief Clerk of the Illinois Commerce Commis Springfield, Illinois 62701, the Direct Testimony of	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Dated: August 29, 2002	Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago			
Michael G. Rosenberg Ronald M. Hill Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Chicago, Illinois 60611 (312) 751-6583  CERTIFICATE O	By: Michael G Rosenberg			
I, Ronald M. Hill, being first duly sworn, on oath state that I served and filed the foregoing Direct Testimony of Thomas K. O'Connor, Docket No. 02-0479, via Federal Express delivery to the Chief Clerk of the Illinois Commerce Commission, Donna Caton, 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701, and electronically served the persons shown on the attached Service List.				
Notary Public Schi	FFICIAL SEAL ERYL R BOURGEOIS TO PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS MIMISSION ENCHRESEDS/31/01			

Mark P. Canada Atty. for National Energy Marketers Association Krieg DeVault LLP One Indiana Square, Ste. 2800 Indianapolis, IN 46204 E-Mail: mcanada@kdlegal.com

Michael Cornicelli BOMA/Chicago 120 S. LaSalle St., STe. 1400 Chicago, IL 60603 E-Mail: mcornicelli@bomachi.com

Ann DeBortoli
Executive Director
Peoples Energy Service Corporation
205 N. Michigan Ave., Ste. 4216
Chicago, IL 60601-9729
E-Mail: adebortoli@peoplesenergy.net

Darcy A. Fabrizius
Blackhawk Energy Services
N16 W23217 Stone Ridge Dr.
PO Box 2226
Waukesha, WI 53187-2226
E-Mail: dfabrizius@blackhawkenergy.com

Salvatore Fiorella
Peoples Energy Service Corporation
130 E. Randolph Dr., 23rd Fl.
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail: sfiorella@pepcorp.com

Brad Fults
Alliant Energy Integrated Services
920 Plymouth Building
12 S. Sixth St.
Minneapolis, MN 55402
E-Mail: bradfults@alliant-energy.com

Patrick N. Giordano
Atty. for Trizec Properties Inc.
Giordano & Neilan, Ltd.
Suite 2800
333 N. Michigan Ave.
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail: patrickgiordano@dereglaw.com

Randy Clarke
Staff Assistant
Attorney General's Office
1000 W. Randolph, 11th Fl.
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail: rclarke@arg.state.il.us

Janice A. Dale
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Bureau
100 W. Randolph St., 11th Fl.
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail; idale@atq.state.il.us

Leijuana Doss
Assistant State's Attorney
Environment and Energy Division
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
69 W. Washington, Ste. 700
Chicago, IL 60602
E-Mail: Idoss@cookcountygov.com

David I. Fein
Atty. for Intervenors
Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe
203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 1800
Chicago, IL 60601-1293
E-Mail: david.fein@piperrudnick.com

Gerard T. Fox
Peoples Energy Service Corporation
130 East Randolph Dr., 23rd Fl.
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail: <a href="mailto:gtfox@pecorp.com">gtfox@pecorp.com</a>

David C. Giardina
Atty. for Commonwealth Edison Company
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
10 S. Dearborn St.
Chicago, IL 60603
E-Mail: dgiardin@sidley.com

Lawrence A. Gollomp
Assistant General Counsel
United States Department of Energy
1000 Independence Ave., SW
Washington, DC 20585
E-Mail: lawrence.gollomp@hq.doe.gov

Craig G. Goodman President National Energy Marketers Association 3333 K St., NW, Ste. 425 Washington, DC 20007 E-Mail: cgoodman@energymarketers.com

Julie Hextell AES NewEnergy 550 W. Washington, Ste. 300 Chicago, IL 60661 E-Mail: julie.hextell@newenergy.com

Karen M. Huizenga Attorney MidAmerican Energy Company 106 E. Second St. PO Box 4350 Davenport, IA 52808

E-Mail: kmhuizenga@midamerican.com

Ronald D. Jolly **Assistant Corporation Counsel** Dept. of Law City of Chicago 30 N. LaSalle, Ste. 900 Chicago, IL 60602-2580 E-Mail: rjolly@cityofchicago.org

Mary Klyasheff Assistant General Counsel Office of General Counsel Peoples Energy Service Corporation 130 E. Randolph Dr., 23rd Fl. Chicago, IL 60601 E-Mail: mklyasheff@pecorp.com

Julie B. Lucas Legal Counsel Citizens Utility Board 208 S. LaSalle St., Ste. 1760 Chicago, IL 60604 E-Mail: jlucas@cuboard.org

Steven Matrisch Office of General Counsel Illinois Commerce Commission 527 E. Capitol Ave. Springfield, IL 62701 E-Mail: smatrisc@icc.state.il.us

Edward J. Griffin Attv. for CILCO Defrees & Fiske 200 S. Michigan Ave., Ste. 1100 Chicago, IL 60604 E-Mail: ejg@defrees.com

James Hinchliff Attorney Peoples Energy Service Corporation 130 E. Randolph Dr., 23rd Fl. Chicago, IL 60601 E-Mail: j.hinchliff@pecorp.com

Wendy Ito Peoples Energy Services Corporation 205 N. Michigan Ave., Ste. 4216 Chicago, IL 60601 E-Mail: wito@peoplesenergy.net

Mark G. Kaminski Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Bureau 100 W. Randolph St., 11th Fl. Chicago, IL 60601 E-Mail: mkaminski@atg.state.il.us

Joseph L. Lakshmanan Illinois Power Company 500 S. 27th St. Decatur, IL 62521-2200 E-Mail: joseph\_lakshmanan@illinoispower.com

> Owen E. MacBride Atty, for Illinois Power Company Schiff Hardin & Waite 6600 Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606 E-Mail: omacbride@schiffhardin.com

Carla S. Meiners MidAmerican Energy Company Urbandale Business Center 4299 N.W. Urbandale Drive Urbandale, IA 50322

E-Mail: csmeiners@midamerican.com

Michael A. Munson Atty. for BOMA Law Office of Michael A. Munson 8300 Sears Tower 233 S. Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60601 E-Mail: michael@munson.com

Paul G. Neilan Atty. for Trizec Properties Inc. Giordano & Neilan, Ltd. 333 N. Michigan Ave., #2800 Chicago, IL 60601 E-Mail: paulneilan@dereglaw.com

Michael S. Pabian
Assistant General Counsel
Commonwealth Edison Company
10 South Dearborn, 35th FL
Chicago, IL 60603
E-Mail: michael.pabian@exeloncorp.com

Mark N. Pera
Assistant State's Attorney
Environment and Energy Division
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
69 W. Washington, Ste. 700
Chicago, IL 60602
E-Mail: mpera@cookcountygov.com

Anne R. Pramaggiore
Associate General Counsel
Commonwealth Edison Company
440 S. LaSalle St.
Chicago, IL 60603
E-Mail: anne.pramaggiore@exeloncorp.com

Joseph H. Raybuck
Ameren Services Company
1901 Chouteau Ave.
PO Box 66149, MC 1310
St. Louis, MO 63166-6149
E-Mail: jraybuck@ameren.com

Conrad Reddick
Special Deputy Corporation Counsel
Department of Law
30 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 1040
Chicago, IL 60602
E-Mail: creddick@cityofchicago.org

Alan H. Neff
Assistant Corporation Counsel
Dept. of Law
City of Chicago
30 N. LaSalle, Ste. 900
Chicago, IL 60602-2580
E-Mail: aneff@cityofchicago.org

Philip R. O'Connor AES NewEnergy, Inc. 550 W. Washington, Ste. 300 Chicago, IL 60661 E-Mail: phil.o'connor@newenergy.com

Katie Papadimitriu
Commissioner's Assistant
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle St., Ste. C-800
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail: kpapadim@icc.state.il.us

Paul Phillips
Counsel
United States Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585
E-Mail: paul.phillips@hq.doe.gov

Stacey L. Rantala
Attorney
National Energy Marketers Association
3333 K St., NW, Ste. 425
Washington, DC 20007
E-Mail: srantala@energymarketers.com

Sarah J. Read
Atty. for Commonwealth Edison Company
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
10 S. Dearborn St.
Chicago, IL 60603
E-Mail: sread@sidley.com

G. Darryl Reed
Atty. for Commonwealth Edison Company
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
10 S. Dearborn St.
Chicago, IL 60603
E-Mail: gdreed@sidley.com

Eric Robertson Atty. for IIEC Lueders, Robertson & Konzen 1939 Delmar Ave. P.O. Box 735 Granite City, IL 62040 E-Mail: erobertson@lrklaw.com

Nick T. Shea
Director, Rates & Regulatory Affairs
Central Illinois Light Company
300 Liberty St.
Peoria, IL 61602
E-Mail: nshea@cilco.com

Marie Spicuzza
Assistant State's Attorney
Environment and Energy Division
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
69 W. Washington, Ste. 700
Chicago, IL 60602
E-Mail: mspicuz@cookcountygov.com

Christopher J. Townsend
Atty. for Intervenors
Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe
203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 1800
Chicago, IL 60601-1293
E-Mail: chris.townsend@piperrudnick.com

Janis Von Qualen
Office of General Counsel
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 E. Capitol Ave.
Springfield, iL 62701
E-Mail: jvonqual@icc.state.il.us

Phillip A. Casey
Administrative Law Judge
Illinois Commerce Commission
State of Illinois Building
160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, IL. 60603
E-Mail: pcasey@icc.state.il.us

W. Michael Seidel
Atty. for CILCO
Defrees & Fiske
200 S. Michigan Ave., Ste. 1100
Chicago, IL 60604
E-Mail: wmseidel@defrees.com

Steven M. Sherman
Atty. for National Energy Marketers Association
Krieg DeVault LLP
One Indiana Square, Ste. 2800
Indianapolis, IN 46204
E-Mail: ssherman@kdlegal.com

Dale Swan
Exeter Associates, Inc.
12510 Prosperity Dr., Ste. 350
Silver Spring, MD 20904
E-Mail: dswan@exeterassociates.com

Marla Tun
Atty. for Commonwealth Edison Company
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
10 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60603
E-Mail: mtun@sidley.com

Timothy P. Walsh
Attorney
Peoples Energy Services Corporation
130 E. Randolph, 23rd Floor
Chicago, IL 60601
E-Mail: twalsh@pecorp.com

Leslie D. Haynes
Administrative Law Judge
Illinois Commerce Commission
State of Illinois Building
160 N. LaSalle Street
Suite C-800
Chicago, IL. 60603
E-Mail: lhaynes@icc.state.il.us